

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,062	0/719,062 11/24/2003		Atsunori Tsuji	046124-5254	9116	
9629	7590	04/22/2005		EXAMINER		
		& BOCKIUS LLP	MCCORMICK EWOLDT, SUSAN BETH			
	1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004				PAPER NUMBER	
	•			1654		

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
055- 4 4	2	10/719,062	TSUJI ET AL.				
Office Action S	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt	1654				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE MAILING DATE OF THE Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail for the period for reply specified about 1 NO period for reply is specified about 1 Failure to reply within the set or exte	HIS COMMUNICATION. under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. ing date of this communication. e is less than thirty (30) days, a repove, the maximum statutory period nded period for reply will, by statut r than three months after the mailir	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDON ng date of this communication, even if timely fi	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
Status	,						
1) Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on 10 M	March 2005					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 March 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
<u>'</u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	·						
·	are nending in the applic	cation					
 4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
	5) Claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	ubject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers		·					
_	incted to button Function						
9) The specification is ob			Formation				
		cepted or b) objected to by the					
	•	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	` ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
TI) The ball of declaration	in is objected to by the E.	xammer. Note the attached Omo	e Action of form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is ma	ade of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
•							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D	-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) Information Disclosure Statement	(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>June 28, 2</u>		6) Other:					
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office A	ction Summary	Port of Pener No (Mail Date 0405				
	Office At	onon Jummary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0405				

Application/Control Number: 10/719,062

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election without traverse of election of the species nutrients, in the reply filed on March 10, 2005 is acknowledged.

Claims Pending

Applicant has cancelled claim 8. Claims 1-7 and 9 will be examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the recitation "having branches through the branches" is unclear as to what Applicant is meaning. Clarification is needed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 10/719,062

Art Unit: 1654

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoffmann et al. (US 5,873,196) in view of Shin et al. (US 5,459,121).

Hoffmann *et al.* (US 5,873,196) teach an implantable device for the release of active substances to plants which the substance is distributed to the open pores of the plant. Any material may be used as active substance such as plant restoratives, growth regulators or nutrients (abstract, column 3, lines 49-54; column 4, lines 18-22). Also Hoffmann *et al.* teach that low water potential inhibits the release of active compounds (column 1, liens 25-29). Low water potential is caused by transpiration, which can be prevented by removing leaves or applying an anti-transpirant. Hoffmann *et al.* does not specifically teach removal of leaves or using a chemical that closes the stomata.

Shin et al. (US 5,459,121) teach a method that can reduce plant water loss by closing stomata openings and reducing plant transpiration by chemical means (abstract).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine Hoffmann *et al*. with Shin *et al*. because Hoffmann *et al*. taught a device for the release of active substance into plants and Shin *et al*. taught that the stomata openings can be closed by chemical means was advantageous. Thus, a person of ordinary skill in the art would reasonably expect that one could close the stomata openings by chemical means and remove the leaves to inhibit transpiration and administer the active substance as described in the claimed invention. Based on this reasonable expectation for success, a person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to modify the teachings of the references.

From the teaching of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention.

Therefore, the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the cited references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Page 4

Summary

No claim is allowed.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0981. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The official fax number for the group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sbme

BRUCE R. CAMPELL. PH.D. **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600**

Brune Compell